

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,878	06/24/2003	Norbert Dumler	DUMLER28	1305	
1444	7590 07/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			DOAN, ROBYN KIEU		
624 NINTH S SUITE 300	STREET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			3732		
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E	
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,878	DUMLER, NORBERT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robyn Doan	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of this eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	29 April 2005.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the ments is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.	·	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the or	•		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ie Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docur			
2. Certified copies of the priority docur			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bo		ropolited	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the centiled copies not	received.	

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of Re	erences Cited	(PTO-892)
-----------------	---------------	-----------

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Amendment filed 04/29/05 has been entered and carefully considered. Claims 1-3 have been amended. New claims 4-5 have been added. Limitations of new and amended have not been found to be patentable over prior art of record, therefore claims 1-5 are rejected under the new ground rejections as set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thayer et al (IDS cited reference).

With regard to claims 1 and 3-4, Thayer et al discloses a mascara applicator (fig. 4) comprising a plurality of bristles (18) which are held between two intertwisted wire sections (13), with the bristles passing through a helical reinforcing element which is formed by two individual flights of helix (col. 10, lines 45-48) each of the flights having a first end (54) engaged to each other at a first end of the reinforcing element and a free second end (52) at the second end of the reinforcing element wherein the free second

Application/Control Number: 10/601,878

Art Unit: 3732

ends of the two individual flights of helix being arranged in a recess (62) and wherein the first ends of the two individual flights of helix being engaged together by a rounded portion (55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thayer '994.

With regard to claim 5, Thayer '994 discloses a mascara brush comprising all the claimed limitations in claim 4 as discussed above except for the two flights of helix and the rounded portion being formed as a unit. It would also have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the two flights of helix and the rounded portion being formed as a unit, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thayer et al in view of Kingsford (IDS cited reference).

Application/Control Number: 10/601,878

between the coating surfaces.

Art Unit: 3732

With regard to claim 2, Thayer et al discloses a mascara applicator comprising all the claimed limitations in claim 1 as discussed above except for threads of individual flights being asymmetric such that a pitch of a first section of the thread is greater than that a second section, with a free interstice for the bristles to pass through alternately having a smaller distance and a greater distance. Kingsford discloses a mascara applicator (figs. 3-6, 9-10) comprising a plurality of bristles (19) and a helical (18a) wherein threads of helical being asymmetric such that a pitch of a first section of the thread (fig. 4) being greater than a second section, with a free interstice for the bristles to pass through alternately having a smaller distance and a greater distance (fig. 6). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the particular threads as discussed above as taught by Kingsford into the mascara applicator of Thayer et al for the purpose of providing a specific amount of mascara product depending upon the simple selection of distance

Applicant has argued that Thayer's reference does not include certain features of Applicant's invention, the limitations on which Applicant relies i.e., "the two flights of helix start from the region of the handle with a free end until the tip of the brush where the flights are engaged together in a round turn back so that the helixes turn back to the region of the handle, so that both free ends of the wire are in the region of the handle" are not stated in the claims. It is the claims that define the claimed invention, and its is claims, not specifications that are anticipated or unpatentable. Constant v.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,878

Art Unit: 3732

Advanced Micro-Devices Inc., 7USPQ2d 1064.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robyn Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robyn Doan July 8, 2005

> John J. Wilson Primary Examiner